

NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT



Correlated electrons out of equilibrium: Short-time dynamics to quasi-steady states

Martin Eckstein "Quantum Matter: Computation Meets Experiments" Aspen, March 12, 2020



image from Basov et al., Nature Materials 2017



image from Basov et al., Nature Materials 2017

Field-induced phenomena



Field-induced phenomena Distributional engineering

Heterostructuring and electrostatic gating High B field High pressure (dc) current-Superfluid induced Magnons excitations switching +GH2 Static Valley control and Berry phase modulation Density wave modes DC 00 00 Mott-Hubbard Mid-IR Nonlinear phononics excitations Phonons ene 0 % Lisible Near-IR +m+ Excitons Plasmons/polaritons Direct hopping modulations and Floquet engineering Metastable states Free energy image from Basov et al., Nature Materiais 2017

Field-induced phenomena Distributional engineering Non-equilibrium steady states



Field-induced phenomena Distributional engineering Non-equilibrium steady states

image from Basov et al., Nature Materiais 2017

This talk: Overview of attempts to compute electronic structure of correlated electrons under non-equilibrium conditions (following ultra-short excitations or in non-thermal steady states.

Real-time dynamics of correlated electrons

Hubbard model



$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

plus electric fields (Peierls substitution) $t_{ij} \rightarrow t_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}(t)}$ $\phi_{ji}(t) = e\vec{A}(t)(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i)$

(plus possibly dissipation, phonons)

Aim: Unitary time-evolution or dissipative steady states

Real-time dynamics of correlated electrons

Example: single-band Hubbard model after / during laser excitation; U=3, bandwidth=4

Intertwined evolution of spectrum and occupation



U/bandwidth



charge transfer, fieldinduced band narrowing

Relaxation

Keldysh formalism:

$$A(\omega, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} G^{ret} \left(t + \frac{s}{2}, t - \frac{s}{2}\right)$$
$$N(\omega, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} G^{<} \left(t + \frac{s}{2}, t - \frac{s}{2}\right)$$

 $\iff G(t,t') = -i \langle T_{\mathcal{C}} c(t) c^{\dagger}(t') \rangle$



Keldysh formalism:

$$A(\omega, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} G^{ret} \left(t + \frac{s}{2}, t - \frac{s}{2}\right)$$
$$N(\omega, t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} G^{<} \left(t + \frac{s}{2}, t - \frac{s}{2}\right)$$

 $\iff G(t,t') = -i \langle T_{\mathcal{C}} c(t) c^{\dagger}(t') \rangle$





Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 + \sum$

 $\int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 + \cdot$ $\int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$

G(t,t') Δt

",causal" time-propagation:

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 + \int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$

G(t,t') Δt

",causal" time-propagation:

 $G = G_0 + -$

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $\int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$



",causal" time-propagation:

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 + \int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$



",causal" time-propagation:

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = -G_0 + \int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$



",causal" time-propagation:

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 + \sum$ $\int_{\text{previous times}} dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t, t_1) \Sigma(t_1, t_2) G(t_2, t)$



"causal" time-propagation:

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = -G_0 + - -$ $dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t,t_1) \Sigma(t_1,t_2) G(t_2,t)$ previous times



",causal" time-propagation:

Memory: eg. GW simulation 6 orbitals, 400 k-points, W=10eV Δ t=0.01/W=0.06fs \Rightarrow >1TB for 100fs

Computational challenges (I): Long-time-memory in Quantum kinetic equations

 $G = G_0 +$ $dt_1 dt_2 G_0(t,t_1) \Sigma(t_1,t_2) G(t_2,t)$ previous times



",causal" time-propagation:

Memory: eg. GW simulation 6 orbitals, 400 k-points, W=10eV Δ t=0.01/W=0.06fs \Rightarrow >1TB for 100fs

open source code: nessi.tuxfamily.org

Sometimes overcome by Truncation of memory integrals: Possible if there is time-scale separation $\Sigma(t,t') \approx 0$ for $|t - t'| > t_c$

Schueler et al, PRB 2018, Stahl et al., in preparation

Computational challenges (II):

DMFT: Quantum impurity problem = impurity in time-dependent bath



(numerically unbiased) impurity solvers:

MPS Wolf, et al. et Schollwöck, et al. (2014), Bauernfeid et al. et Evertz (2017)
CTQMC Gull et al. (2012, ...), Cohen et al. (2018)
Keldysh QMC Parcollet e al.

Here: Still NCA

Real-time dynamics of correlated electrons

Example: single-band Hubbard model after / during laser excitation; U=3, bandwidth=4

Intertwined evolution of spectrum and occupation



U/bandwidth



charge transfer, fieldinduced band narrowing

Relaxation

Real-time dynamics of correlated electrons



Lifetime of photo-doped states

[time] = 1/hopping $T_{\rm eff} = 0.5$ b) 10⁴ 10³ Ч 10² ω 10¹ ω 2 3 5 7 6 4 U Mott transition

Thermalization of the double occupancy after (pretty much any kind of) excitation:

$$d(t) = d(T_{\text{eff}}) + Ae^{-t/\tau}$$

 $T_{
m eff}$ determined by total energy

Eckstein & Werner, PRB (2010)

Lifetime of photo-doped states

[time] = 1/hopping $T_{\rm eff} = 0.5$ b) 10⁴ 10³ Ч 10² ω 10¹ ω 2 3 5 7 6 4 U Mott transition

Thermalization of the double occupancy after (pretty much any kind of) excitation:

$$d(t) = d(T_{\text{eff}}) + Ae^{-t/\tau}$$

 $T_{
m eff}$ determined by total energy

Long-lived non-thermal population in the upper Hubbard band

Eckstein & Werner, PRB (2010)

Internal relaxation



⇒ Phonons (quasi external heat bath)

⇒ Non-local charge and spin fluctuations (beyond DMFT)

- ⇒ Short range (Cluster DMFT) Eckstein & Werner, Sci. Rep 2016
- ⇒ Long range (extended DMFT, DMFT+GW)

Golez, Eckstein, Werner PRB 2015, PRL 2017, PRB 2019Golez, Boenke Eckstein, Werner PRB 2019Bittner, Golez, Eckstein, Werner PRB 2019

(Short-range) spin correlations

Hole motion:
⇒ defects in AFM order
⇒ transfer of energy to spin
Active also in paramagnetic phase?

(Short-range) spin correlations

Hole motion:
⇒ defects in AFM order
⇒ transfer of energy to spin
Active also in paramagnetic phase?

single band 2d Hubbard model U/t=12; 2x2 cluster DCA, NCA solver





$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t,t') = \sum_{\boldsymbol{K}} \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{K}}(t,t') \theta_{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

NN Spin correlations (in the cluster)



single band 2d Hubbard model U/t=12; 2x2 cluster DCA, NCA solver

NN Spin correlations

Occupied DOS $N_k(\omega, t)$



strong spin correlations: inter Hubbard band relaxation within few 1/hopping

(Short-range) spin correlations

single band 2d Hubbard model U/t=12; 2x2 cluster DCA, NCA solver

NN Spin correlations

Occupied DOS $N_k(\omega, t)$



strong spin correlations: inter Hubbard band relaxation within few 1/hopping





Pre-thermal states

Quasi-steady states due to relaxation bottlenecks and constraints



New orders, non-thermal symmetry broken states?

Pre-thermal states

Quasi-steady states due to relaxation bottlenecks and constraints



New orders, non-thermal symmetry broken states?

Pre-thermal states

Quasi-steady states due to relaxation bottlenecks and constraints

⇒ Controlled by few macroscopic variables?



New orders, non-thermal symmetry broken states?

Pre-thermal states

Quasi-steady states due to relaxation bottlenecks and constraints ⇒ Controlled by few macroscopic variables?

Non-equilibrium steady states External driving and dissipation

Stabilize non-thermal pre-thermal state through weak driving? see also talk by Z.Lenarzic



Directly targeting a non-equilibrium steady state

dissipation + continuous driving (bias) ⇒ Non-equilibrium steady state

$$G(t,t') \to G(t-t',t_{av} = (t+t')/2) \to G(\omega) = A(\omega)F(\omega)$$

Keldysh formalism:

$$\hat{G}(\omega) = \hat{G}_0(\omega) + \hat{G}_0(\omega)\hat{\Sigma}(\omega)\hat{G}(\omega)$$

Interaction & dissipation

Non-equilibrium steady state: Two bath coupled to every site of the lattice:



 $A(\omega)$

ω

 $\mu_{in} \ A_{in}(\omega)$

 $\mu_{out} \ A_{out}(\omega)$

Non-equilibrium steady state: Two bath coupled to every site of the lattice:



Steady state with occupation in upper band largely independent of bath details if state is controlled by few bottlenecks

Non-equilibrium steady state: Two bath coupled to every site of the lattice:



Non-equilibrium steady state: Two bath coupled to every site of the lattice:



Non-equilibrium steady state: Two bath coupled to every site of the lattice:



determined) state variables: Temperature, double occupancy (photo-doping)

Phase-diagram of photodoped system

Scan for different µbath:



Phase-diagram of photodoped system

Scan for different µbath:



η-pairing superconductivity

Singly-occupied sites: AFM



η -pairing superconductivity



Large U limit: only doubly occupied/empty sites

SU(2)_C symmetry

$$H^{ ext{eff}} = \sum_{\langle ij
angle} J_{ ext{ex}} oldsymbol{S}_i \cdot oldsymbol{S}_j$$

$$\eta_j^+ = (-1)^j c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger$$

$$\eta_j^- = (-1)^j c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c} |\uparrow\downarrow\\|0\rangle \end{array}\right)$$

$$\eta_j^z = \frac{1}{2} (n_j - 1)$$

Long-range η -pairing: $\langle \eta_j^+ \rangle \equiv \Delta$ \Rightarrow Staggered phase Superconductivity (exact excited state on bipartite lattice, Yang, PRL 63, 2144 (1989)

η -pairing superconductivity



⇒ photo-excitation to populate doublons?

finite size (ED): Enhancing η -correlations Kaneko et al, 2018,2019 Pumping doublons d>1/4 by driving Peronacci, Schiro, Parcollet 2019

η-pairing superconductivity

η -susceptibility



Extended region of non-thermal superconductivity in the Hubbard model

a real steady state

CDW in attractive Hubbard model

Attractive interaction (U<0) at half filling: s-wave superconductivity and charge density wave order degenerate



Can one stabilize superconductivity under non-equilibrium conditions?

Model Hamiltonians

environment at given temperature current J t voltage bias V

Non-equilibrium steady state: Balance of power input JV and dissipation to bath

I-V Characteristics

Attractive HM at half filling: U = -2.5; bandwidth $= 4\sqrt{2}$



Coexisting steady state solutions ⇒ first-order transition

- 1) Melting of CDW through charge excitations
- 2) Metal unstable against infinitesimal CDW fluctuations:
 ⇒ close to thermal mechanism?

I-V Characteristics

Attractive HM at half filling: U = -2.5; bandwidth $= 4\sqrt{2}$

Distribution functions:



Only slight deviations from Fermi function (due to el.-el. scattering) \Rightarrow in the following analysis, first use T_{eff} to characterize steady state

I-V Characteristics

Attractive HM at half filling: U = -2.5; bandwidth $= 4\sqrt{2}$

CDW in steady state as function of effective temperature



Non-equilibrium phase diagram

Attractive HM at half filling:

⇒ CDW suppressed by current beyond the Joule heating effect

⇒ robust "supercooled metallic phase"



Summary & Outlook

Long-lived non-thermal orders through population engineering

Probing non-equilibrium phase-diagram as auxiliary steady state

- ➡ Multi-orbital systems
- ⇒ Hidden states is systems with spin-orbital order (Include feedback on lattice?)
 ⇒ Ideal for unbiased impurity solvers:
 - MPS, CTQMC, Keldysh QMC

Acknowledgements:

Philipp Werner (Fribourg) Denis Golez (Flatiron) Jiajun Li (Erlangen) Anne Mathies (Erlangen, now Cologne)