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## Talk outline

1. The problem we want to solve
2. Bacterial inheritance 101
3. A new pangenome reference approach
4. Initial results for E. coli
5. How this infrastructure can be extended to mixtures
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## Enterobacter sp.

E. coli

Klebsiella sp.

Salmonella enterica
Proteus mirabilis
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## Motivation

- Enterobacteriaceae are commonly found in normal microflora in the human gastrointestinal tract
- Horizontal gene transfer often occurs among Enterobacteriaceae and between pathogenic and commensal strains
- To fully understand the dynamic interactions with and within such species in the microbiome we need to be able to compare diverse genomes (at the single nucleotide level)
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Touchon et al., PloS Genetics (2009)
For E. coli:

- A single genome contains $\sim 5000$ genes
- The pangenome contains $\sim 90,000$ genes
- Most genes are rare


## Relatedness in the core and accessory



## Relatedness in the core and accessory



Two genomes distant on the core tree can have more similar gene repertoires than two genomes which are close on the core tree

## Example of the problem



## Example of the problem

Suppose this is the reference

If we take perfect reads from the other genomes, and map them to this reference, how many of the 50 SNPs can we call?



## Example of the problem

Look at the navy gene

We can call SNPs 1,2,3,4


Total $=4$

## Example of the problem

Look at the yellow gene We can call SNPs 5,6,7,8,9,10


Total $=4+6$

## Example of the problem

Look at the green gene

> We can call SNPs
> $11,12,13,14,15,16$

However SNPs 17－22 are from a
recombination event and are densely clustered． No reads map．So we cannot detect them．

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| แั | $\checkmark$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| ะั |  | \％ |
| แّ | ¢ | ゃゃき |
| 凹． | ＊ | ゃぇ |

Total $=4+6+6$

## Example of the problem

Look at the red gene

We can call SNPs 23,24,25,26,27,28


Total $=4+6+6+6$

## Example of the problem

Look at the purple gene

## We can call SNPs 29,30,31,32,33



$$
\text { Total }=4+6+6+6+5
$$

## Example of the problem

We cannot detect SNPs on grey, orange brown, light blue or dark green genes


Total $=4+6+6+6+5$

## Example of the problem

| 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Example of the problem



## The key problem

There is a lack of correlation between:

- detailed (core SNP/tree) distance
- coarse (repertoire) distance.

At present for diverse genomes:

- We cannot get SNP calls outside the core genome with reference-based variant calling
- Multiple sequence alignments do not scale to many whole genomes (plus is nightmare to determine if a SNP in one place on one genome, is "the same" as another SNP at a different place in another)
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## Bacterial Inheritance

Horizontally acquired variation:
a Bacterial transformation

b Bacterial transduction
resistance gene
Recipient cell

c Bacterial conjugation
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## Bacterial Inheritance

Horizontally acquired variation:


Homologous recombination


Allelic recombination and HGT

## Bacterial Inheritance

Horizontally acquired variation:


Homologous recombination

Allelic recombination and HGT


Locally (within genes) sequences look like mosaics of those seen before those seen before

## Goals

- Detect SNP variation between genomes in any gene/intergenic region shared between them
- Detect gene/allele presence in variety of contexts
- Compatible with long Nanopore or short Illumina reads
- Allow analysis of genome organization
- Flexible enough to cope with plasmid/phage/MGE
- Extensible to mixed read datasets

A Pangenome Reference Graph (PanRG)
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## Comparison with Pandora



We choose the best reference path for each gene!

## Pandora workflow
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## Mosaic sequence inference

Pick the path with maximum likelihood

## Pandora workflow



## Pandora workflow



## Experiment: Compare 4 diverse E. coli

- Take 4 E.coli strains:
- 2 from a cardiothoracic unit (human) outbreak (ST216)
- 1 reference strain (ST73)
- 1 from cattle faeces (ST3858)
- Both Nanopore and Illumina data (300X) and high quality Illumina-polished PacBio assemblies ("truth")


## Experiment: Compare 4 diverse E. coli

- Build a PanRG for E. coli
- Construct graphs for 23052 genes built from 350 RefSeq genomes using the PanX tool from Neher lab (Ding et al)
- Construct graphs for 14374 intergenic regions from 228 E. coli from ST131 using the Piggy tool from Harry Thorpe (Ed Feil's lab).
- $58.9 \%$ of gene graphs and $43.8 \%$ of intergenic regions consist of just a single sequence, no variation


## Comparators

## Nanopolish

- Only published variant caller on Nanopore data.
- Used in Ebola outbreak

Snippy (bwa+freebayes)

- Standard tool. Illumina-only. Gives us an illumina baseline.

Try 10 different reference assemblies for variant calling

## Comparators

Namopolish
(Don't have signal level data for all samples)

- Only published variant caller on Nanopore-data.
- Usod in Ebola-outbreak

Snippy (bwa+freebayes)

- Standard tool. Illumina-only. Gives us an illumina baseline.

Try 10 different reference assemblies for variant calling

## Metrics for evaluation

- Do all pairwise alignments between these 4, and use mummer/dnadiff to find a set of high quality SNPs between them.
- Proxy for "recall": what \% of all the pairwise dnadiff SNPs are found?

Note: If a SNP difference is found in 3 pairs, it is counted 3 times - weighted towards higher frequency variants.
Why do this? Hard to be sure if one SNP==another.

- Precision: what \% of all calls made are correct (map variant and flanks to truth assembly)


## 2 samples (1 human, 1 cattle)
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## 3 samples (2 human, 1 cattle)



## 4 samples (2 human, 1 cattle, 1 reference)



## Add a local de novo assembly step



## A substrate for mixtures



## A single genome



A single genome + plasmids
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A single genome + plasmids


A single genome + plasmids


## Mixed genomes
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## Metagenomes



## Metagenomes



## Thank you!
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## Indexing in with (w,k)-minimizers

$\mathrm{k}=5$, w=3<br>dictionary order<br>AGGTGACACGT<br>AGGTG<br>GGTGA<br>GTGAC<br>TGACA

## Indexing in with ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{k}$ )-minimizers

$\mathrm{k}=5, \mathrm{w}=3$<br>dictionary order<br>\title{ AGGTGACACGT }<br>AGGTG<br>GGTGA<br>GTGAC TGACA<br>GACAC ACACG<br>CACGT

AGGTG $\longrightarrow$ GGTGA $\longrightarrow$ GACAC $\longrightarrow$ ACACG
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## Indexing in with (w,k)-minimizers

$\mathrm{k}=5, \mathrm{w}=3$
dictionary order


AGGTG
GGTGA
GTGAC
TGACA
GACAC, GACAG ACACG, ACAGG CACGT, CAGGT
AGGTG $\longrightarrow$ GGTGA ${ }_{\mathrm{GACAC}}^{\mathrm{GACA}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{ACACG}>$


[^0]:    Furuya \& Lowy, Nat Rev Micro (2006)

